

### Presentation outline

- Introduction: bioeconomy overview and ongoing policies/strategies
- Different approaches to bioeconomy: technological and social-innovation approaches (with a focus on Mediterranean forests)
- Knowledge gaps and (research) challenges
- Some final considerations

TESAF Deartments Territorie

1. Introduction: bioeconomy definitions and overview

TESAF Deartiments Territorie

#### **Bioeconomy: definitions**

"Bioeconomy refers to the set of economic activities relating to the invention, development, production and use of **biological products and processes**. A bioeconomy is a world where **biotechnology** contributes to a significant share of economic output" (OECD, 2009)

"Bioeconomy encompasses the **production of renewable biological resources** and their conversion into food, feed, biobased products and bioenergy. It includes agriculture, **forestry**, fisheries, food and pulp and paper production, as well as parts of chemical, biotechnological and energy industries" (EC, 2012)







Five points about the bio-economy strategies and visions that demand critical attention (Staffas et al., 2013):

- Sustainability focus → Sustainability is not heavily emphasized and it is over shadowed by economic growth
- **Measures of success** → Few measures are presented in the documents, but the importance of measures is highlighted
- Scarcity of resources → Only mentioned in a few of the documents
- Consumption patterns → Not addressed except for the documents by Finland and Sweden
- Stakeholder interaction → This is acknowledged in the documents as critical, but needs increased efforts.

TESAF Dourtements Territorie



2 different approact (modified from	nt (complementar hes to bioeconom Toman, 2012; Pettenella, 201	<b>'y?)</b> <b>)y</b> 15; Secco <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
	Technological approach	Adaptive strategy ("Old
Focus on	Technological innovations     Large scale investments     Value chain perspective     Sectoral development     Vertical integration	<ul> <li>wine in new bottles") → conventional wisdom of innovation generation</li> <li>Focus on forests, agriculture, fishery as</li> <li>raw materials providers with biotechnology being the engine of the growth</li> </ul>
Input/output diversification	1 input (e.g. timber) Diversification in outputs	
Market power	Increasing role of business owning/controlling the (new) technologies	
Model regions	Northern EU (UK, Scandinavian countries)	
	Ensema estative estative	







Does this approach fit the Mediterranean context? Is it the most appropriate one?



Some considerations with a focus on the forestry sector

TESAF Descriments Tentone



Source: Verkerk et al., 2015

T

# Mediterranean forests

- Highly fragmented forestland estate (many small private forests)
- Large majority of SMEs
- Difficult forest management
- conditions (geomorphological constraints/limits)
- Broad range of forests/environments
- High **exposition to risks** (fires, climate change)
- Production **diversification** (constellation of niche markets)
- Low financial profitability, provision of high value public goods (water, soil protection, cultural services...)
- Limited investments in technical assistance, innovation and R&D

#### 2 different (complementary?) approaches to bioeconomy (modified from Toman, 2012; Pettenella, 2015; Secco *et al.*, 2015)

modified from Toman, 2012; Pettenella, 2015; Secco et al., 2015

	Technological approach	Social innovation approach
Focus on	Technological innovations     Large scale investments     Value chain perspective     Sectoral development     Vertical integration	Social innovations     Small scale     Networks     Cross-sectoral development     Horizontal integration (= forests     (and agriculture) as the green     infrastructures for ural development)
Input/output diversification	1 or more inputs Diversification in outputs	Diversification in the use of inputs High added value Products & Services
Market power	Increasing role of business owning/controlling the (new) technologies	Role of networks, groups, associations, public-private partnerships
Model regions	Northern EU (UK, Scandinavian countries)	Mediterranean region (?)











#### Some ideas and good practices

- Wood mobilisation
- · Cascading (grading, added value)
- "New" contract forms: Multi-year contracting, increment contracts, network contracts...
- Short, local supply chains
- Biomass trade centers
- Energy contracting, district heating systems
- Procurement policies

TESAF Deartimente Territorie

• Biomass quality standards

3. Knowledge gaps and some on-going/ future initiatives

TESAF DearStreets Territorie



- Empirical evidences of the cause-effect links between social innovation and economic performances in forestry
- Short and long-terms effects of new institutional and policy frameworks/policy reforms on SI implementation in Mediterranean forests, e.g. EU RDP 2014-2020 (art. 35 Cooperation)
- Development of new/refinement of sets of methods to measure social dimensions in innovative forestry (e.g. Social Network Analysis)
- Role of networks and Social Capital in increasing the provision of ecosystem services

(...)

TESAF Dourfamento Territoria

## $\begin{array}{l} {\bf SIMRA - Social \ Innovation \ in \ Marginalised \ Rural \\ {\bf Areas} \end{array}$

H2020-EU.3.2. - SOCIETAL CHALLENGES - Food security, sustainable agriculture and forestry, marine, maritime and inland water research, and the bioeconomy Call for proposal: H2020-ISIB-2015-2 Funding scheme: RIA - Reserch and Innovation action Duration: 2016-2020

#### Description

Social Innovation (SI) encompasses the new forms of interrelating which aim at solving shared problems and achieve common goals through strengthened collaboration. This social structure is especially relevant in rural areas to overcome provisioning, commercial or environmental challenges i.a. Consumer-producer networks, associations, or cooperatives exemplify some SI.

SIMRA seeks to advance understanding of SI and innovative governance in agriculture, forestry and rural development, and how to boost them, particularly in marginalised rural areas across Europe, with a focus on the Mediterranean region (including non-EU) where there is limited evidence of outcomes and supporting conditions.

The project aims to better understand the role of Social Innovations in building territorial capital and enhancing sustainable development through application of a systematic theoretical and operational framework of SI governance in rural areas across Europe and non-European Mediterranean regions

http://cordis.europa.eu/project/rcn/200385\_it.html

TESAF Descriments Territorie





#### Conclusions (2/2)

From a Mediterranean perspective the real innovative aspects of the bio-based economy are related to equity, social inclusion, promotion of local knowledge and employment creation, i.e. to social innovation, more than to problems connected to technology innovation



A fragile area with strongly specific features → need for tailored development models, otherwise the Med region might be crashed by competition with other big players